Date original: 27/10/2023 12:59:00 Date public redacted version: 27/10/2023 14:57:00



In:

KSC-CA-2023-02

Name:

The Specialist Prosecutor v. Salih Mustafa

Before:

Judge Michèle Picard, Presiding

Judge Kai Ambos

Judge Nina Jørgensen

Registrar:

Dr. Fidelma Donlon

Date:

26 October 2023

Classification:

PUBLIC REDACTED

[IN COURT REDACTION ORDER]

The Presiding Judge of a Panel of the Court of Appeals Chamber FINDS that the following blacked out text contains confidential information and ORDERS this information shall be removed/ edited from the PUBLIC broadcast/streaming, audio visual recording and transcripts in all three languages *Albanian/ English/ Serbian*. Hearing of 26 October 2023, transcript reference Page 42 Line 19 to Page 42 Line 20, (time code from 14:57:50 to 14:57:56).

42:16	It is unknown with whom the person was when the other detainees	14:57:35
17	had left the premises to go to Prishtine. Whether the victim	14:57:40
18	remained unattended or not is an unknown fact. It is equally unknown	14:57:45
19	with whom the victim was or remained.	14:57:50
20	who was said to remain at the detention location as well, has	14:57:56
21	never been found and there is no knowledge of this person, but nobody	14:57:59
22	knows whether there were any other people at the location.	14:58:05
23	We do not know whether these people were KLA soldiers from the	14:58:09
24	brigade, BIA members, or any other unit, or still civilians who were	14:58:13
25	at the scene. No evidence regarding this matter has been produced.	14:58:16
Management		
43:1	It is simply unknown, so any assumption is pure speculation.	14:58:20
43:1	It is simply unknown, so any assumption is pure speculation. It is equally unclear whether or not the victim received medical	14:58:20 14:58:25
	It is equally unclear whether or not the victim received medical	14:58:25
	It is equally unclear whether or not the victim received medical aid. The TP cannot infer availability or unavailability of medical	14:58:25 14:58:31
	It is equally unclear whether or not the victim received medical aid. The TP cannot infer availability or unavailability of medical aid on the basis of any factual ground. We simply do not know. And	14:58:25 14:58:31 14:58:39
	It is equally unclear whether or not the victim received medical aid. The TP cannot infer availability or unavailability of medical aid on the basis of any factual ground. We simply do not know. And neither can the TP infer that he was denied medical aid. It simply	14:58:25 14:58:31 14:58:39 14:58:48
	It is equally unclear whether or not the victim received medical aid. The TP cannot infer availability or unavailability of medical aid on the basis of any factual ground. We simply do not know. And neither can the TP infer that he was denied medical aid. It simply remains unknown, let alone that it can infer that it is the BIA	14:58:25 14:58:31 14:58:39 14:58:48 14:58:54
2 3 4 5 6 7	It is equally unclear whether or not the victim received medical aid. The TP cannot infer availability or unavailability of medical aid on the basis of any factual ground. We simply do not know. And neither can the TP infer that he was denied medical aid. It simply remains unknown, let alone that it can infer that it is the BIA members who would deny the person medical aid.	14:58:25 14:58:31 14:58:39 14:58:48 14:58:54 14:58:59
2 3 4 5 6 7	It is equally unclear whether or not the victim received medical aid. The TP cannot infer availability or unavailability of medical aid on the basis of any factual ground. We simply do not know. And neither can the TP infer that he was denied medical aid. It simply remains unknown, let alone that it can infer that it is the BIA members who would deny the person medical aid. There is simply no proof, not at least in the judgment given, on the fact who would have denied medical aid to this person and whether	14:58:25 14:58:31 14:58:39 14:58:48 14:58:54 14:58:59 14:59:02

Registry implementation: Yes \square	No □	Feed Terminated \Box	Time From	to
AV: Name	Signature:		Time From	to



In:

KSC-CA-2023-02

Name:

The Specialist Prosecutor v. Salih Mustafa

Before:

Judge Michèle Picard, Presiding

Judge Kai Ambos

Judge Nina Jørgensen

Registrar:

Dr. Fidelma Donlon

Date:

26 October 2023

Classification:

PUBLIC REDACTED

[IN COURT REDACTION ORDER]

The Presiding Judge of a Panel of the Court of Appeals Chamber FINDS that the following blacked out text contains confidential information and ORDERS this information shall be removed/ edited from the PUBLIC broadcast/streaming, audio visual recording and transcripts in all three languages *Albanian/ English/ Serbian*. Hearing of 26 October 2023, transcript reference Page 44 Line 14, (time code 15:02:07); and Page 44 Line 19 (time code 15:02:35).

4:13	this person. It is baseless. The way the TP reasoned it was the	15:02:01
14	following, and that is in paragraph em:	15:02:0
15	"As a confirmation that the decision not to release the murder	15:02:1
16	victim could only have been made by the accused as a BIA commander,	15:02:1
17	the Panel unldz that the release of other detainees was executed by	15:02:2
18	the accused's BIA subordinate including his deputy, Mr. Mehmetaj."	15:02:2
19	The that this that the detainees were released by the state of the sta	15:02:3
20	Mr. Mehmetaj, is in no fact a confirmation that any such decision	15:02:3
21	could have been made by Mr. Mustafa ^ , apart from the fact that	15:02:4
22	Mr. Mehmetaj, the person who released the people, himself denies the	15:02:4
23	entire issue, he was not even a subordinate at the time that he	15:02:5
24	allegedly released the detainee.	15:02:5
25	The Trial Panel itself stated earlier in the judgment about his	15:02:5
5:1	role in the BIA, and I quote, paragraph 338:	15:03:0
2	"His," that is Mr. Mustafa.	15:03:0
3	"His position as a commander is confirmed by the fact that until	15:03:1
	February 1909 Mr. Mehrend aller harm on Paris and the controlle	15.00.2

Registry implementation: Yes \Box	No □	Feed Terminated \Box	Time From	to
AV: Name	Signature:		Time From	to



In:

KSC-CA-2023-02

Name:

The Specialist Prosecutor v. Salih Mustafa

Before:

Judge Michèle Picard, Presiding

Judge Kai Ambos

Judge Nina Jørgensen

Registrar:

Dr. Fidelma Donlon

Date:

26 October 2023

Classification:

PUBLIC REDACTED

[IN COURT REDACTION ORDER]

The Presiding Judge of a Panel of the Court of Appeals Chamber FINDS that the following blacked out text contains confidential information and ORDERS this information shall be removed/ edited from the PUBLIC broadcast/streaming, audio visual recording and transcripts in all three languages *Albanian/ English/ Serbian*. Hearing of 26 October 2023, transcript reference Page 46 Line 20 to Page 46 Line 24, (time code 15:07:27 to 15:07:57).

46:18	detention.	15:07:22
19	In the substantial cause test that the Trial Panel used, it	15:07:23
20	entirely left out the timeframe within which the person died.	15:07:27
21	Constructive and Constructive and the Constructive Constr	15:07:31
22		15:07:35
23		15:07:41
24		15:07:57
25	The Defence has stressed the issue of time and place of death of	15:07:57
SAN THE PARTY OF		
47:1		15:07:57
2	The Trial Panel did not excuse the cause of death by gunshot	15:07:58
1000	wound. It rather stated that the other injuries and the denial of	15:08:01
4	medical care were already enough for his death. The Trial Panel	15:08:04
5	failed to eliminate other possible causes of death.	15:08:08
-6	Question 1(b). The short answer to 1(b) is: Yes. When the	15:08:14
7	causation is broken due to any subsequent new event that can equally	15:08:25
В	and by its very nature cause the death, the Defence submits that in	15:08:30
9	that case it impacts the causation standard.	15:08:34
10	The Trial Panel took for a possibility, based on statements of	15:08:42
11	witnesses, that there were a number of intervening events. However,	15:08:45
12		15:08:49
13	The Trial Panel left open the possibility for these intervening	15:08:53

Registry implementation: Yes	□ No □	Feed Terminated \Box	Time From	to
AV: Name	Signature	·:	Time From	to



In:

KSC-CA-2023-02

Name:

The Specialist Prosecutor v. Salih Mustafa

Before:

Judge Michèle Picard, Presiding

Judge Kai Ambos

Judge Nina Jørgensen

Registrar:

Dr. Fidelma Donlon

Date:

26 October 2023

Classification:

PUBLIC REDACTED

[IN COURT REDACTION ORDER]

The Presiding Judge of a Panel of the Court of Appeals Chamber FINDS that the following blacked out text contains confidential information and ORDERS this information shall be removed/ edited from the PUBLIC broadcast/streaming, audio visual recording and transcripts in all three languages *Albanian/ English/ Serbian*. Hearing of 26 October 2023, transcript reference Page 65 Line 7 to Page 65 Line 8, (time code from 16:16:15 to 16:16:30).

65:6	commander that the murder victim was dead. The murder victim's body	16:16:09
7	was found later that year in July 1999 in a shallow grave	16:16:15
8	The body was already	16:16:25
. 9	decomposing and showed signs of serious injuries on the arms and legs	16:16:31
10	as well as bullet wounds on the torso.	16:16:35
11	These are the facts, Your Honours, and I would urge you not to	16:16:42
12	lose sight of them as we go through the legal issues, the legal	16:16:45
13	principles in this appeal, and as you consider those legal	16:16:48
14	principles.	16:16:51
15	Turning now to those legal issues.	16:16:54
16	And before I address the substantive issues raised in these	16:16:56
17	grounds, and in particular the questions posed by Your Honours, I	16:17:01
18	want to emphasise that Mr. Mustafa has failed to substantiate these	16:17:05
19	grounds to even the minimum standard to escape summary dismissal.	16:17:10
20	We've made detailed submissions, written submissions on the form of	16:17:15
21	deficiencies in Mr. Mustafa's appeal in our brief, and these points	16:17:20
22	are especially pertinent when it comes to the grounds relating to	16:17:23
23	murder.	16:17:27
24	Mr. Mustafa's submissions on these grounds, both in his brief	16:17:28
25	and as well as this afternoon, fail to meaningfully develop the	16:17:33
NAME OF TAXABLE PARTY.		
66:1	grounds as they were articulated in the Notice of Appeal, and the	16:17:40
2	Notice of Appeal raises issues that are raised for the first time on	16:17:48
3		16:17:51
4	Now, the formal requirements of appellate proceedings have	16:17:53
	developed for a reason, as we've explained in our brief, and they	16:17:58
6	require the appellant to clearly identify errors of law and explain	16:18:01

Registry implementation: Yes \square	No 🗆	Feed Terminated \square	Time From	_to
AV: Name	Signature:		Time From	to

Date original: 27/10/2023 12:59:00 Date public redacted version: 27/10/2023 14:57:00

KOSOVO SPECIALIST CHAMBERS DHOMAT E SPECIALIZUARA TË KOSOVËS SPECIJALIZOVANA VEĆA KOSOVA

In:

KSC-CA-2023-02

Name:

The Specialist Prosecutor v. Salih Mustafa

Before:

Judge Michèle Picard, Presiding

Judge Kai Ambos

Judge Nina Jørgensen

Registrar:

Dr. Fidelma Donlon

Date:

26 October 2023

Classification:

PUBLIC REDACTED

[IN COURT REDACTION ORDER]

The Presiding Judge of a Panel of the Court of Appeals Chamber FINDS that the following blacked out text contains confidential information and ORDERS this information shall be removed/ edited from the PUBLIC broadcast/streaming, audio visual recording and transcripts in all three languages *Albanian/ English/ Serbian*. Hearing of 26 October 2023, transcript reference Page 65 Line 7 to Page 65 Line 8, (time code from 16:16:15 to 16:16:30).

5 detention compound, he would not have ended up in a shallow grave	16:24:5
6 his	16:24:5
7 corpse pierced with bullet wounds.	16:25:0
Had they not mistreated him with murderous intent and left him	16:25:0
g in a near-to-death state unable to move, he would have been able to	16:25:1
walk away like the other prisoners.	16:25:1
Had Salih Mustafa given the order to evacuate him or to provide	16:25:2
him with medical care, he would not have been found dead with signs	16:25:3
of obvious mistreatment as well as gunshot wounds.	16:25:3
Indeed, the Trial Panel explicitly found that the murder victim	16:25:4
would not have died but for the extreme mistreatment by the accused	16:25:4
or by the appellant and his BIA subordinates. And the Trial Panel	16:25:5
2 found that he would have survived had they provided medical aid to	16:26:0
him, and the trial judgment reference there is paragraph 626.	16:26:0
Those findings, Your Honours, are more than reasonable on the	16:26:1
evidence before the Trial Panel.	16:26:1
Now one might say in response, but this was a time of war. He	16:26:2

Registry implementation: Yes \square	No 🗆	Feed Terminated \square	Time From	to
AV: Name	Signature:		Time From	to